

REMARKS

In the office action dated August 23, 2005, the Examiner rejected claim 1 as being anticipated by Temple. Claim 1 is now cancelled.

The Examiner indicated that claims 2-17 contain allowable subject matter. Claims 2 and 17 have been amended as independent claims that include all the limitations of claim 1. Thus claims 2 and 17 are patentable.

Claim 3 was amended as an independent claim in the response dated June 8. Claims 4-16 depend directly or indirectly from claims 3. Thus, 3-16 are patentable.

Claim 18 is an independent claim. It was allowed in the office action of March 9, 2005. Even though page 1 of the current office action stated that claim 18 is rejected, there is no mentioning of claim 18 in the rest of the office action. It is believed that it is a typo and claim 18 is patentable.

All grounds of rejection have been satisfactorily answered. The allowance of the present application is respectfully urged.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee for this filing to Deposit Account 50-2538 (docket number C-018-D-1).

Respectfully Submitted

Oct. 20, 2005



Hark Chan
Reg. No. 35, 477